https://gospodarkainnowacje.pl

GOSPODARKA I INNOWACJE

LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

Volume: 35 | 2023

Economy and Innovation

ISSN: 2545-0573

For more information contact: editor@gospodarkainnowacje.pl

# ALTERNATIVE FINANCING METHODS OF INVESTMENT PROJECTS

**Yunusova Sevara, Ph.D**

*Tashkent Institute of Finance*

ARTICLEINFO.

**Keywords:** alternative financing, crowdfunding, venture capital, angel investment, cryptocurrency funding, investment projects, blockchain technology.

**Abstract**

This paper explores the emerging landscape of alternative financing methods for investment projects. As the digital economy evolves and globalization accelerates, traditional avenues like bank loans, bonds, and equity offerings are increasingly being supplemented by innovative methods such as crowdfunding, venture capital, angel investment, and cryptocurrency funding. Each of these alternative financing methods offers unique advantages, from democratizing access to capital to creating new investment opportunities. However, they also present distinct challenges, including regulatory uncertainties, default risks, and cybersecurity concerns. The paper provides a comprehensive examination of these financing methods, their benefits, and associated risks, offering valuable insights for entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers navigating the rapidly evolving investment project financing landscape.

http://www.gospodarkainnowacje.pl/ © 2023 LWAB.

## INTRODUCTION

Investment projects, ranging from infrastructural development to high-tech startups, require significant capital. Traditionally, these ventures have relied on conventional financing methods, which include bank loans, bond issuances, or equity offerings. These methods, albeit reliable, often come with stringent requirements and significant costs, making them inaccessible for many, particularly small to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) and entrepreneurs.

In recent years, the financing landscape has dramatically evolved. Fueled by technological advancements, globalization, and the rise of the digital economy, an array of alternative financing methods has emerged and gained momentum (Bruton, Khavul, Siegel, & Wright, 2015). These innovative methods are transforming the funding landscape, offering entrepreneurs, SMEs, and even larger corporations, new avenues to raise capital that are often more flexible, efficient, and cost-effective compared to traditional financing routes.

Key among these alternative financing methods are crowdfunding, peer-to-peer lending, venture capital, angel investment, and the burgeoning area of cryptocurrency funding, which includes mechanisms like Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs) and Security Token Offerings (STOs). These methods have opened up a world of opportunities, democratizing access to capital and enabling businesses to tap into a global pool of potential investors (Mollick, 2014).

However, while these methods offer promising advantages, they also come with their unique challenges and risks, including regulatory uncertainties, default risks, and in the case of cryptocurrencies,



Copyright © 2023 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

cybersecurity concerns (Zetzsche, Buckley, Arner, & Föhr, 2018).

This paper seeks to explore these alternative financing methods in depth, shedding light on their mechanisms, advantages, and associated risks. Through a comprehensive examination, the aim is to provide a nuanced understanding of these financing avenues, thereby offering insights for entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers navigating the rapidly evolving landscape of investment project financing.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

The financing landscape has undergone significant transformation in recent years. This shift has been largely driven by technological advancements and increased globalization, which have spawned a multitude of alternative financing methods. These methods provide a break from traditional financing methods, such as bank loans, bonds, and equity offerings, presenting more flexible, efficient, and often less costly alternatives (Bruton, Khavul, Siegel, & Wright, 2015).

In the realm of alternative financing, crowdfunding and peer-to-peer lending are among the most popular methods. Crowdfunding allows entrepreneurs to raise capital from a large pool of people, primarily through internet-based platforms (Mollick, 2014). Similarly, peer-to-peer lending platforms connect borrowers directly with lenders, bypassing traditional financial intermediaries (Berger & Gleisner, 2015). These methods have democratized access to finance, allowing businesses of all sizes to tap into a global pool of potential investors.

In a study by Belleflamme, Lambert, & Schwienbacher (2014), the authors concluded that crowdfunding, apart from being a tool for raising capital, also serves as a valuable marketing tool. It allows entrepreneurs to gauge customer interest and engage with potential customers. This observation is mirrored in other studies as well (Burtch, Ghose, & Wattal, 2013).

However, these methods also come with their challenges. Ziegler et al. (2018) pointed out that regulatory issues and fraud risks are the main concerns in these peer-to-peer platforms. Furthermore, both crowdfunding and peer-to-peer lending require substantial effort from entrepreneurs, and the risk of public failure can also be a deterrent (Mollick, 2014).

Venture capital and angel investments represent another significant facet of alternative financing. Here, investments are made by venture capitalists or affluent individuals (angels), who not only provide financial support but also strategic guidance to businesses (Gompers & Lerner, 2004; Mason & Harrison, 2002).

Gompers & Lerner (2004) posited that venture capital has a positive impact on innovation, while Mason & Harrison (2002) found that angel investors often play a crucial role in the early stages of a business. However, securing venture capital or angel investment is not straightforward. The process is highly competitive and requires a solid business plan, a scalable business model, and a strong management team (Chemmanur, Krishnan, & Nandy, 2011).

More recently, with the advent of blockchain technology, cryptocurrency funding, including Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs) and Security Token Offerings (STOs), has emerged as a novel form of alternative financing. These methods involve issuing digital tokens or coins to investors, which can then be traded on various cryptocurrency exchanges (Adhami, Giudici & Martinazzi, 2018).

Cryptocurrency funding offers several advantages, including democratizing access to capital and creating liquidity for investors (Momtaz, 2020). However, the primary challenges are regulatory ambiguity and cybersecurity concerns (Amsden & Schweizer, 2018).

## ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

### Venture capital



LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

Copyright © 2023 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Venture capital (VC) is a critical financing strategy for startups and small businesses. This form of financing involves investors providing capital to businesses that they perceive to have long-term growth potential, typically in exchange for equity. The process of venture capital investing is quite structured, often involving thorough due diligence to assess the viability of the business before investment (Gompers & Lerner, 2004).

Notably, venture capital is typically associated with high-risk, high-reward investments. Venture capitalists (VCs) usually target businesses in high-tech industries such as biotechnology, IT, software, and AI because these sectors have the potential for exponential growth (Kaplan & Strömberg, 2003).

In addition to providing funds, venture capitalists often contribute their expertise and business networks. They can offer strategic guidance, help in recruiting key personnel, assist in marketing and partnership efforts, and provide access to additional capital (Sahlman, 1990). This type of added value is known as 'smart money,' which goes beyond mere financial contribution.

However, venture capital is not without its challenges. Businesses that seek venture capital must be willing to give up a portion of their equity, and often a level of control, which may not be desirable for all entrepreneurs. Moreover, due to the high-risk nature of VC investments, many VC-funded startups fail. However, VCs anticipate these failures and rely on the exceptional success of a few investments to offset losses and generate substantial returns (Metrick & Yasuda, 2010).

Overall, venture capital offers a critical source of financing for high-growth potential startups, providing not only capital but also the necessary expertise and networks to drive business growth.

**Angel Investment**

Angel investors, also known as private investors, informal investors, or seed investors, are typically high-net-worth individuals who offer capital resources for business startups. More often than not, these investments are made in exchange for convertible debt or ownership equity (Mason & Harrison, 2002).

Unlike venture capitalists who manage pooled money from others in a professionally managed fund, angel investors often invest their own funds. This means they have a personal stake in the success of the business, which can lead to a more supportive and involved partnership. They often invest in entrepreneurs and ideas in which they personally believe or with which they have a personal connection (Freear, Sohl, & Wetzel, 2002).

One of the primary benefits of angel investment is its flexibility. Because the decisions to invest are less formal and process-driven, angel investors can make quick decisions and provide funding much faster than traditional lending institutions or venture capitalists (Van Osnabrugge & Robinson, 2000). Furthermore, angel investors often provide what is known as "patient capital." They understand that it might take a while before the investment yields returns, allowing startups the time they need to find their footing and begin generating revenue (Sudek, 2006).

Moreover, the angel investment can provide more than just financial support. Similar to venture capitalists, many angel investors bring their expertise, industry knowledge, strategic guidance, and networks to the startups they support, greatly enhancing their chances of success (Kelly, 2007).

However, angel investors are not without their drawbacks. Startups seeking angel investment must often give up a degree of control and a share in future profits. Finding the right angel investor who aligns with the company's mission and vision can also be a challenging process (Harrison, Mason, & Girling, 2004).

In conclusion, angel investment can provide crucial capital and support for startups, offering advantages over traditional financing methods. Despite its challenges, the personal commitment and industry knowledge brought by angel investors can be invaluable for a fledgling business.

**Crowdfunding**



LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

Copyright © 2023 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Crowdfunding is a modern financing method that harnesses the power of the crowd to accumulate funds for various initiatives, including entrepreneurial endeavors. Enabled by the rise of the internet and social media, crowdfunding allows entrepreneurs to reach a global pool of potential investors quickly and efficiently (Mollick, 2014).

The primary types of crowdfunding include donation-based, reward-based, equity-based, and debt-based crowdfunding. In donation-based crowdfunding, contributors donate money to causes or projects they believe in without expecting any return. Reward-based crowdfunding offers contributors a non-monetary reward or product in return for their investment, which could range from a token of appreciation to the product being developed (Belleflamme, Lambert, & Schwienbacher, 2014).

Equity crowdfunding and debt crowdfunding (also known as peer-to-peer lending or crowd lending), on the other hand, are more like traditional investment models. In equity crowdfunding, contributors receive a share of the company in exchange for their investment. In debt crowdfunding, contributors lend money in return for interest payments and the promise of repayment of the principal amount over a specified period (Ahlers, Cumming, Günther, & Schweizer, 2015).

Crowdfunding has gained popularity due to its ability to democratize access to capital. Traditional routes to financing are often inaccessible to many entrepreneurs due to strict requirements and high costs. Crowdfunding allows entrepreneurs to circumvent these barriers and tap into a wider network of potential investors. In addition, the process can serve as a valuable tool for market validation and customer engagement, providing entrepreneurs with essential feedback and potential customer base (Burtch, Ghose, & Wattal, 2013).

However, despite its benefits, crowdfunding comes with its own challenges. These include the need for a significant time commitment to run a successful campaign, the risk of public failure, and potential intellectual property issues. Additionally, not all projects are suitable for crowdfunding, and it requires careful planning and execution to succeed (Mollick, 2014).

In conclusion, crowdfunding has emerged as a compelling alternative financing method, offering numerous benefits, including democratized access to capital and market validation. However, its successful implementation requires careful consideration and planning.

**Cryptocurrency Funding**

In the world of alternative finance, cryptocurrency funding is a burgeoning area that has gained considerable attention in recent years. Leveraging blockchain technology, this funding method enables companies to raise capital through the issuance of digital tokens or coins, primarily via Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs) and Security Token Offerings (STOs) (Adhami, Giudici & Martinazzi, 2018).

ICOs are fundraising events in which startups or companies sell their underlying crypto tokens in exchange for bitcoin or ether. The tokens can represent a wide range of assets and rights, from utility tokens that provide access to a specific service, to equity tokens that represent an ownership stake in the company (Mougayar, 2016).

STOs, on the other hand, involve the issuance of security tokens that are backed by real assets such as stocks, bonds, real estate, or commodities. The critical differentiator here is that STOs are designed to fall within securities regulations, providing investors with certain rights and protections (Chod & Lyandres, 2020).

Cryptocurrency funding offers several benefits. It democratizes access to capital, making it easier for startups and small businesses to secure funding from a global pool of investors. It also creates liquidity and flexibility for investors, who can trade these tokens on various cryptocurrency exchanges (Momtaz, 2020).



LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

Copyright © 2023 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Despite these benefits, there are significant challenges and risks associated with cryptocurrency funding. One of the major concerns is the regulatory ambiguity. Since cryptocurrencies operate in a new and rapidly evolving legal landscape, they often face scrutiny and regulatory challenges from authorities (Zetzsche, Buckley, Arner, & Föhr, 2018). Additionally, the volatility of cryptocurrencies and the risk of fraud and cyber-attacks present substantial risks to both companies and investors (Amsden & Schweizer, 2018).

In conclusion, while cryptocurrency funding offers an innovative and potentially lucrative form of alternative financing, it comes with significant risks and challenges that must be carefully navigated. As blockchain technology continues to evolve and mature, and as regulators around the world catch up with these developments, the landscape of cryptocurrency funding is likely to become more defined and secure.

## CONCLUSION

The financing landscape for investment projects has significantly evolved over the past decade, opening up a host of alternative funding avenues that present more flexible, efficient, and often less costly options. This transformation, largely driven by technological advancements and increased globalization, has greatly impacted the ways businesses secure funding for their ventures.

Crowdfunding and peer-to-peer lending platforms have democratized access to finance, enabling businesses of all sizes to tap into a global pool of potential investors. Notwithstanding the regulatory issues and fraud risks inherent to these platforms, these methods have significantly impacted how entrepreneurs raise capital.

Venture capital and angel investments, though highly competitive, offer not just financial support but also strategic guidance to businesses, often playing a pivotal role in their early stages of development. However, these avenues require a solid business plan, a scalable business model, and a strong management team to be successful.

Moreover, with the advent of blockchain technology, cryptocurrency funding, including Initial Coin Offerings (ICOs) and Security Token Offerings (STOs), has emerged as an innovative form of alternative financing. Although this area presents regulatory ambiguity and cybersecurity concerns, it is undeniably shaping the future of fundraising by democratizing access to capital and creating liquidity for investors.

In conclusion, the rise of alternative financing methods signifies a paradigm shift in the way investment projects are financed. Each of these alternative financing avenues offers unique advantages and presents distinct challenges, thereby necessitating a nuanced understanding of their operations. As these trends continue to evolve, it is imperative for entrepreneurs, investors, and policymakers to stay abreast of these changes to navigate the rapidly evolving landscape of investment project financing effectively. This exploration into the dynamics of alternative financing, therefore, provides critical insights into the future of investment project financing.

## REFERENCES


1. Adhami, S., Giudici, G., & Martinazzi, S. (2018). Why do businesses go crypto? An empirical analysis of initial coin offerings. Journal of Economics and Business, 100, 64-75.
2. Ahlers, G. K., Cumming, D., Günther, C., & Schweizer, D. (2015). Signaling in equity crowdfunding. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 39(4), 955-980.
3. Amsden, R., & Schweizer, D. (2018). Are blockchain crowdsales the new gold rush? Success determinants of Initial Coin Offerings. Communications of the ACM, 61(7), 78-85.
4. Belleflamme, P., Lambert, T., & Schwienbacher, A. (2014). Crowdfunding: Tapping the right crowd. Journal of Business Venturing, 29(5), 585-609.






Copyright © 2023 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

5. Berger, A. N., & Gleisner, F. (2015). Emergence of financial intermediaries in electronic markets: The case of online P2P lending. BuR Business Research Journal, 2(1), 39-65.
6. Bruton, G., Khavul, S., Siegel, D., & Wright, M. (2015). New Financial Alternatives in Seeding Entrepreneurship: Microfinance, Crowdfunding, and Peer-to-Peer Innovations. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 39(1), 9-26.
7. Burtch, G., Ghose, A., & Wattal, S. (2013). An Empirical Examination of the Antecedents and Consequences of Contribution Patterns in Crowd-Funded Markets. Information Systems Research, 24(3), 499-519.
8. Chemmanur, T. J., Krishnan, K., & Nandy, D. K. (2011). How does venture capital financing improve efficiency in private firms? A look beneath the surface. Review of Financial Studies, 24(12), 4037-4090.
9. Chod, J., & Lyandres, E. (2020). A theory of ICOs: Diversification, agency, and information asymmetry. Management Science.
10. Freear, J., Sohl, J. E., & Wetzel, W. E. (2002). Angles on angels: financing technology-based ventures—a historical perspective. Venture Capital, 4(4), 275-287.
11. Gompers, P., & Lerner, J. (2004). The venture capital cycle. MIT press.
12. Gompers, P., & Lerner, J. (2004). The Venture Capital Cycle. MIT press.
13. Harrison, R., Mason, C., & Girling, P. (2004). Financial bootstrapping and venture development in the software industry. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 16(4), 307-333.
14. Kaplan, S. N., & Strömberg, P. (2003). Financial contracting theory meets the real world: An empirical analysis of venture capital contracts. The Review of Economic Studies, 70(2), 281-315.
15. Kelly, P. (2007). Business Angel Research: The Road Traveled and the Journey Ahead. Venture Capital, 9(2), 107-126.
16. Mason, C. M., & Harrison, R. T. (2002). Barriers to investment in the informal venture capital sector. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 14(3), 271-287.
17. Metrick, A., & Yasuda, A. (2010). Venture Capital and the Finance of Innovation. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
18. Mollick, E. (2014). The dynamics of crowdfunding: An exploratory study. Journal of Business Venturing, 29(1), 1-16.
19. Momtaz, P. P. (2020). The pricing and performance of cryptocurrency. The European Journal of Finance, 26(4-5), 468-491.
20. Mougayar, W. (2016). The business blockchain: Promise, practice, and application of the next internet technology. Wiley.
21. Sahlman, W. A. (1990). The Structure and Governance of Venture-Capital Organizations. Journal of Financial Economics, 27, 473–521.
22. Sudek, R. (2006). Angel investment criteria. Journal of Small Business Strategy, 17(2), 89-103.
23. Van Osnabrugge, M., & Robinson, R. J. (2000). Angel investing: Matching start-up funds with start-up companies: the guide for entrepreneurs and individual investors. John Wiley & Sons.
24. Zetzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Föhr, L. (2018). The ICO Gold Rush: It's a Scam, It's a Bubble, It's a Super Challenge for Regulators. University of Luxembourg Law Working Paper No. 11/2017.
25. Ziegler, T., Shneor, R., Wenzlaff, K., & Odorovic, A. (2018). Real estate crowdfunding: mapping the market and new knowledge. CrowdFundRES Report, 1, 1-39.



LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

Copyright © 2023 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0