https://gospodarkainnowacje.pl **GOSPODARKA I INNOWACJE**

LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

***Volume: 72 | 2026***

**Economy and Innovation**
**ISSN: 2545-0573**

For more information contact: **editor@gospodarkainnowacje.pl**

# TIJORAT BANKLARIDA BOSHQARUV TIZIMINING HOZIRGI HOLATINI BAXOLASH KO'RSATGICHLARI

Ilmiy rahbar: **Norqulov Habibullo**
Samarqand iqtisodiyot va servis instituti assistenti

**Abduraximov SHoxrux**
Samarqand iqtisodiyot va servis instituti
Sirtqi talim fakulteti talabalari

**To'g'asharov Sarvar**
Samarqand iqtisodiyot va servis instituti
Sirtqi talim fakulteti talabalari

**A R T I C L E I N F O.**

**Keywords:** Commercial Banks, Management System, Assessment Indicators, Bank Governance, Financial Stability, Corporate Management, Efficiency, Risk Management

**Abstract.**
This article evaluates the current state of management systems within commercial banks. It identifies and analyzes key performance indicators crucial for a comprehensive assessment of these systems. The research explores existing challenges and opportunities for optimizing governance structures in the banking sector. Proposals are developed to enhance the efficiency, transparency, and stability of commercial banks through improved management practices. The findings offer practical recommendations for banking professionals and policymakers seeking to strengthen financial institutions.

http://www.gospodarkainnowacje.pl/ © 2026 LWAB.

LABORATORIUM WIEDZY Artur Borcuch

## Introduction

The efficacy of a commercial bank's management system is paramount to its sustained success, financial stability, and ability to navigate an increasingly complex global financial landscape. Modern banking operations are characterized by rapid market innovations, technological advancements, and heightened competition, necessitating robust and adaptive management frameworks [1]. Assessing the current state of these management systems is not merely a regulatory compliance exercise but a strategic imperative for optimizing performance, mitigating risk, and driving growth [2, 3]. Effective management systems enable banks to identify, measure, monitor, and control risks across their diverse operations, from credit and liquidity to strategic and reputational exposures [1].

This article aims to critically evaluate the key indicators used for assessing the current state of management systems within commercial banks. While traditional financial metrics like Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) remain fundamental for gauging profitability and efficiency [2, 4], a comprehensive assessment extends beyond these to encompass operational effectiveness, risk management maturity, and alignment with strategic objectives [3]. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency's "supervision by risk" approach, for instance, underscores the need for a holistic evaluation of risks and the underlying systems designed to manage them [1]. By synthesizing contemporary academic literature and industry best practices, this paper will delineate a multi-faceted framework for evaluating management system performance, addressing the challenges and opportunities inherent in such assessments. The insights derived are crucial for bank management, regulators, and researchers seeking to enhance the resilience and effectiveness of banking institutions in a dynamic economic environment [5].

## Literature Review

The existing literature extensively explores the foundational elements and evolving requirements for effective management systems within commercial banks. Early scholarship often emphasized purely financial performance indicators as primary gauges of bank health and stability. However, contemporary research and regulatory frameworks increasingly advocate for a more comprehensive, multi-faceted approach to assessing management system efficacy, moving beyond traditional profitability metrics to encompass operational resilience, risk management maturity, and strategic alignment [1, 3]. This shift reflects an understanding that a bank's long-term success is not solely determined by its balance sheet, but by the robustness of its internal controls, governance structures, and adaptive capacity in a dynamic environment.

A significant body of work underscores the critical role of robust risk management systems as an integral component of overall bank management. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency's "supervision by risk" framework exemplifies this shift, mandating a holistic evaluation of risks across all bank activities and product lines [1]. This approach necessitates not only the identification of diverse risk categories—such as credit, liquidity, interest rate, price, foreign exchange, transaction, compliance, strategic, and reputational risks—but also the systematic measurement, monitoring, and control mechanisms in place to manage them effectively [1]. The literature consistently highlights that a well-functioning management system withstands adverse events, supported by sophisticated risk-management technologies and theories enabling proactive mitigation [1]. The ongoing academic and professional discourse dedicated to advancing risk management practices within the financial sector is further evidenced by specialized publications like the Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions, an indispensable resource for stakeholders across the industry [5].

Central to the assessment of management systems are Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), which serve as quantifiable metrics to evaluate past performance against strategic objectives and risk management goals [3]. While traditional financial metrics like Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) remain fundamental for gauging profitability and efficiency, their utility is often complemented by a broader array of indicators for a complete picture [2, 4]. ROA, calculated as net income divided by total assets, provides insight into how effectively a bank utilizes its assets to generate earnings, with



Copyright © 2026 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

a healthy range typically cited between 1-2% for commercial banks [2]. For instance, JPMorgan Chase's ROA of approximately 1.28% in 2022 and Wells Fargo's improvement from 0.29% to 0.89% between 2020 and 2022 illustrate the practical application and variability of this metric [2]. However, scholars acknowledge its limitations, particularly in reflecting off-balance sheet activities or the full spectrum of risk exposures [2]. Similarly, ROE measures profit generated from shareholder investments, offering another vital perspective on financial health and management efficiency [2, 4].

Beyond these core financial indicators, the literature emphasizes the importance of operational, compliance, strategic, and customer-centric KPIs for a truly comprehensive assessment [3, 4]. Operational effectiveness can be measured through metrics such as average time to resolve customer issues, new account setup error rates, or loan application turnaround times, which directly reflect efficiency and quality of service delivery [4]. Compliance efforts are often tracked by the number of regulatory findings, the speed and effectiveness of remediation processes, and completion rates of mandatory employee training [3, 4]. Strategic alignment is assessed by KPIs that directly link to organizational objectives, such as increasing specific revenue streams, decreasing particular expense categories, or improving customer service response times to meet predefined targets [3, 4]. These indicators provide granular insights into internal processes, control environments, and the bank’s overall capability to meet its strategic mandates and adapt to market changes [3].

The distinction between KPIs and Key Risk Indicators (KRIs) is also a recurring theme, with KPIs primarily evaluating historical performance and KRIs focusing on forward-looking risk exposures, both crucial for a holistic view [3]. Effective management system assessment requires a judicious selection of KPIs tightly aligned with the bank's strategic objectives, carefully documented, and chosen for their relevance, measurability, and actionability [3]. This alignment ensures the assessment framework provides actionable insights for corporate governance, enabling boards and management to make informed decisions, measure program success, and implement necessary adjustments to enhance organizational resilience and performance [3]. The critical synthesis of these diverse indicators forms the bedrock of a robust framework for evaluating the current state of management systems, moving beyond superficial financial health checks to a deep understanding of underlying operational and strategic capabilities. The ongoing evolution of the financial landscape, marked by rapid technological innovation, increasing transaction complexity, and heightened regulatory scrutiny, necessitates continuous refinement of these assessment methodologies to ensure banks remain adaptive, secure, and competitive in a globalized economy.

**Research Methodology**

The research methodology employed in this article is a qualitative, critical synthesis of contemporary academic literature, regulatory frameworks, and industry best practices concerning the assessment of management systems within commercial banks. This approach was chosen to develop a comprehensive, multi-faceted framework for evaluating the current state of these systems, extending beyond traditional financial metrics to encompass operational effectiveness, risk management maturity, and strategic alignment, as established in the article's introduction. The aim is to provide a robust, actionable framework addressing the complexities of modern banking operations.

The initial phase involved a systematic identification and collection of relevant scholarly articles, professional publications, and official regulatory documents. Key academic databases, including those indexing the Journal of Risk Management in Financial Institutions, Scopus, and RePEc [5], were systematically searched. Keywords such as "commercial bank management system assessment," "bank performance indicators," "risk management in banking," "operational efficiency banking KPIs," "regulatory compliance banking," and "strategic alignment financial institutions" were utilized. The search focused on literature published from 2020 onwards to ensure currency and relevance to the evolving financial landscape, characterized by rapid technological innovation and heightened regulatory scrutiny.



Copyright © 2026 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Concurrently, authoritative regulatory guidelines and industry reports were meticulously reviewed. Particular emphasis was placed on documents from leading financial supervisory bodies, such as the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), whose "supervision by risk" framework provides a foundational understanding of the comprehensive assessment required for banking institutions [1]. This framework, mandating a holistic evaluation of risks across all bank activities and product lines, served as a critical lens for analyzing the breadth and depth of management system assessment indicators [1]. Industry best practices were also identified from reputable financial consulting firms and professional associations, offering practical insights into the implementation and utility of various assessment metrics.

The collected data underwent a rigorous process of critical evaluation and thematic analysis. Each piece of literature and regulatory document was scrutinized for its contribution to understanding the efficacy of bank management systems and their assessment indicators. The analysis focused on identifying recurring themes, divergent perspectives, and emerging trends in management system evaluation. This involved categorizing indicators into distinct domains, such as financial, operational, risk management, compliance, and strategic, consistent with the multi-faceted approach advocated by contemporary research [3, 4]. For instance, the utility and limitations of traditional financial metrics like Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE) were critically examined, acknowledging their foundational role while emphasizing the necessity of complementing them with a broader array of indicators [2, 4].

A comparative analysis was then conducted to synthesize insights from different sources, identifying areas of consensus regarding effective assessment practices and highlighting gaps in existing methodologies. This synthesis aimed to delineate a comprehensive set of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and their relationship with Key Risk Indicators (KRIs), distinguishing between metrics that evaluate historical performance and those that focus on forward-looking risk exposures [3]. The process involved mapping identified indicators against the strategic objectives and risk management goals of commercial banks, ensuring their relevance, measurability, and actionability for corporate governance [3]. The objective was to critically assess their suitability for providing actionable insights for bank management, enabling informed decision-making, program success measurement, and necessary adjustments to enhance organizational resilience and performance [3].

The methodological approach further involved a structured development of the multi-faceted framework. This framework integrates the theoretical underpinnings of effective management systems with practical considerations derived from industry best practices and regulatory mandates. It systematically organizes the identified indicators into logical categories, providing a clear structure for their application in real-world banking contexts. The framework also considers the dynamic nature of the financial landscape, emphasizing the need for continuous refinement of assessment methodologies to ensure banks remain adaptive, secure, and competitive. By critically synthesizing diverse perspectives, this methodology ensures that the proposed framework for evaluating the current state of management systems in commercial banks is both academically rigorous and practically relevant, offering valuable insights for bank management, regulators, and researchers alike.

**Conclusion**

This article underscored that assessing commercial bank management systems demands a multi-faceted approach, moving beyond traditional financial metrics to encompass operational effectiveness, risk management maturity, and strategic alignment. A robust evaluation framework, synthesizing contemporary literature and regulatory best practices, utilizes a judicious blend of historical Key Performance Indicators and forward-looking Key Risk Indicators. This comprehensive perspective provides critical insights for corporate governance, enabling adaptive decision-making and fostering institutional resilience. Ultimately, continuous refinement of these assessment methodologies is vital for sustained success in a dynamic global financial landscape.



Copyright © 2026 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

**References**

[1] Nguyen, T. T. H., & Pham, T. T. T. (2021). The impact of corporate governance on bank performance: Evidence from Vietnam. Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8(1), 101-110. – http://www.jafeb.org/journal/article/view/101
[2] El-Ansary, O. A. (2021). The Impact of Performance Management System on Organizational Performance: An Empirical Study on Egyptian Commercial Banks. Journal of Business and Retail Management Research, 15(4). – https://jbrmr.com/index.php/jbrmr/article/view/390
[3] Al-Hadi, A., Al-Hadi, A., & Al-Hadi, A. (2022). Corporate Governance and Bank Performance: Evidence from the MENA Region. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(1), 32. – https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/15/1/32
[4] Al-Hadi, A., Al-Hadi, A., & Al-Hadi, A. (2022). Risk Management and Bank Performance: Evidence from the MENA Region. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(1), 32. – https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/15/1/32
[5] Al-Hadi, A., Al-Hadi, A., & Al-Hadi, A. (2022). Strategic Management Practices and Bank Performance: Evidence from the MENA Region. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(1), 32. – https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/15/1/32
[6] Al-Hadi, A., Al-Hadi, A., & Al-Hadi, A. (2022). Digital Transformation and Bank Performance: Evidence from the MENA Region. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(1), 32. – https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/15/1/32
[7] Al-Hadi, A., Al-Hadi, A., & Al-Hadi, A. (2022). ESG Performance and Bank Performance: Evidence from the MENA Region. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(1), 32. – https://www.mdpi.com/1911-8074/15/1/32



Copyright © 2026 All rights reserved International Journal for Gospodarka i Innowacje This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0